

**Conserved Quantities for Integrable Chiral
Equations in 2+1 Dimensions.**

T. IOANNIDOU AND R. S. WARD

*Dept of Mathematical Sciences, University of Durham,
Durham DH1 3LE, UK.*

Abstract. The integrable (2+1)-dimensional chiral equations are related to the self-dual Yang-Mills equation. Previously-known nonlocal conservation laws do not yield finite conserved charges, because the relevant spatial integrals diverge. We exhibit infinite sequences of conserved quantities that do exist, and have a simple explicit form.

To appear in Physics Letters A.

1. Introduction.

This paper deals with integrable chiral equations in 2+1 dimensions. Locally, these are equivalent to the self-dual Yang-Mills (SDYM) equation, reduced from 2+2 to 2+1 dimensions. However, the issue of boundary conditions is crucial to our discussion, and we shall be using boundary conditions which are natural for the chiral-equation form, rather than the gauge-theory form.

The chiral equations in question have many of the properties of an integrable system. For example, they arise as the consistency condition for a pair of linear equations, and this description can be used to generate multi-soliton solutions [1–5]; an inverse-scattering transform can be set up [6, 7]; the equations satisfy the Painlevé property [8]. A stricter characterization of integrability involves the existence of sufficiently many conserved quantities in involution, and hence a description in terms of action-angle variables. But for the integrable chiral equations (and for SDYM) such an infinite set of conserved quantities is not known.

Infinite sequences of conservation laws involving nonlocal densities have been known for some time [9, 10]. These do not necessarily yield conserved quantities, since the relevant spatial integrals may diverge. For our chiral equations, the solitons are localized in space, but the localization is only polynomial, and the conserved densities referred to above do not fall off fast enough at spatial infinity to give well-defined conserved quantities.

In this note, we demonstrate the existence of infinite sequences of well-defined conserved quantities for the integrable chiral equations. We also discuss local conserved quantities, including Noether charges arising from symmetries of the Lagrangian. For simplicity, we take the gauge group to be $SU(2)$; but most of the discussion (and in particular the infinite sequences of conserved quantities) extends automatically to arbitrary gauge group.

2. Integrable Chiral Equations.

The self-dual Yang-Mills equation in (2+2)-dimensional flat space can be written in chiral-model form (*cf.* refs 9, 10). Dimensional reduction then yields an integrable chiral equation in 2+1 dimensions. But there is more than one way of reducing, since the original chiral equation in 2+2 dimensions is not $SO(2,2)$ -invariant. The reduced equation involves a choice of unit vector V_α , and has the form

$$\partial^\mu(J^{-1}J_\mu) - \frac{1}{2}V_\alpha\varepsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu}[J^{-1}J_\mu, J^{-1}J_\nu] = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here $x^\mu = (t, x, y)$ are the space-time coordinates, J is a 2×2 matrix of functions of x^μ with $\det J = 1$, $\partial_\mu = \partial/\partial x^\mu$ and $J_\mu = \partial_\mu J$ denote partial derivatives, $\varepsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu}$ is the totally skew tensor with $\varepsilon^{012} = 1$, and indices are raised and lowered using the metric $\text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$. The vector V_α is constant, and satisfies $V_\alpha V^\alpha = 1$; a Painlevé analysis suggests that (1) is integrable if and only if V_α is such a unit vector [8].

If there were no further condition on J , then solutions of (1) would correspond to the gauge group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. To reduce the gauge group to $\text{SU}(2)$, we need to impose a reality condition on J , the precise nature of which depends on the choice of V_α . The two cases we shall deal with are as follows.

- Take V_α to be spacelike, specifically $V_\alpha = (0, 1, 0)$; and require J to be unitary, ie. $J \in \text{SU}(2)$. With $u = \frac{1}{2}(t + y)$ and $v = \frac{1}{2}(t - y)$, eqn (1) can be rewritten as

$$S : \quad \partial_v(J^{-1}J_u) - \partial_x(J^{-1}J_x) = 0. \quad (2)$$

- Take V_α to be i times a timelike unit vector, specifically $V_\alpha = (-i, 0, 0)$; and require J to be hermitian (with positive eigenvalues). Putting $z = \frac{1}{2}(x + iy)$, we can write the resulting equation as

$$T : \quad \partial_t(J^{-1}J_t) - \partial_{\bar{z}}(J^{-1}J_z) = 0. \quad (3)$$

These two equations appeared in [2] and [1] respectively. Note that each is equivalent to its hermitian conjugate; in other words, the reality condition on J is consistent with the equation. We impose the boundary condition

$$J = J_0 + J_1(\theta)r^{-1} + O(r^{-2}) \quad (4)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $x + iy = r \exp(i\theta)$. Here J_0 is a constant matrix, and J_1 depends only on θ (not on t). This condition allows the existence of finite-energy soliton solutions.

The equation (1) has a global symmetry, in that J can be multiplied on both sides by constant matrices. If we require the reality conditions to be preserved, then this global symmetry is $\text{SO}(4)$ in the case of the S -equation (2), and $\text{SO}(1, 3)$ in the case of the T -equation (3).

Finally in this section, let us remark on the static solutions of (2) and (3). Static solutions of (2) are harmonic maps from $\mathbf{R}^2$ into $\text{SU}(2)$. Given the boundary condition (4), these are all known [11]: up to the global symmetry mentioned above, they are

$$J = \frac{i}{1 + |f|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |f|^2 & 2f \\ 2\bar{f} & |f|^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where f is a rational function of either z or $\bar{z}$. By contrast, there are no static soliton solutions of the T -equation (3). To see this, note that (3) with $J_t = 0$ implies that $J^{-1}J_z$ is a holomorphic entire function on $\mathbf{C}$, tending to zero at infinity; hence $J^{-1}J_z = 0$ by Liouville's theorem. But this means that J is antiholomorphic entire, so $J = J_0$ constant, again by Liouville.

3. Lagrangian and Local Conserved Quantities.

The classical conserved quantities arise, via Noether's theorem, from symmetries of a Lagrangian. There is a Lagrangian for the integrable chiral equation, although it involves breaking the global symmetry [10]. Let us concentrate on the T -equation (3) for the time being, to see the explicit expressions.

Following well-established technique, we parametrize J by

$$J = \varphi^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{\rho} \\ \rho & \varphi^2 + |\rho|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Note that φ is well-defined and real, owing to the positivity of J . Then (3) is equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange equations for the Lagrangian

$$L = \varphi^{-2}(\varphi_t^2 - |\varphi_z|^2 + |\rho_t|^2 - |\rho_z|^2). \quad (7)$$

An obvious symmetry is the four-parameter family

$$\varphi \mapsto |b|\varphi, \quad \rho \mapsto b\rho + c, \quad (8)$$

where b and c are complex constants. This is part of the global symmetry noted previously. The corresponding conserved Noether densities are components of $J^{-1}J_t$. In fact, it is already clear that $J^{-1}J_t$ is a matrix of conserved densities, since (3) has the form of a conservation law. However, these densities go like $O(r^{-2})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and so the corresponding charges are not, in general, well-defined.

The next obvious symmetries of (7) are the space-time translations, and these lead to conserved energy-momentum. The energy density is

$$P^0 = \varphi^{-2}(\varphi_t^2 + |\rho_t|^2 + |\varphi_z|^2 + |\rho_z|^2), \quad (9)$$

which is $O(r^{-4})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. So the energy E (the spatial integral of P^0) is a well-defined positive-definite functional of the field. The momentum is also well-defined; the

x -momentum density is

$$\begin{aligned} P^1 &= -\varphi^{-2}(2\varphi_t\varphi_x + \bar{\rho}_t\rho_x + \rho_t\bar{\rho}_x) \\ &= -\text{tr}(J^{-1}J_tJ^{-1}J_x), \end{aligned}$$

and the y -momentum density has the analogous form. Note that the momentum is invariant under the full $\text{SO}(1,3)$ global symmetry, whereas the energy density is not (although it is invariant under the reduced symmetry (8)).

By way of example, let us examine the one-soliton solution [1]. This is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= \frac{|\mu|(1 + |f|^2)}{|\mu|^2 + |f|^2}, \\ \rho &= \frac{(|\mu|^2 - 1)\bar{f}}{|\mu|^2 + |f|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where f is a rational meromorphic function of

$$\xi = \mu z + \mu^{-1}\bar{z} - t,$$

and μ is a complex constant with $|\mu| > 1$. For the sake of simplicity, take $f(\xi) = \xi$. Then

$$P^0 = \frac{(|\mu|^2 - 1)^2(|\mu|^2 + 1)}{|\mu|^2(|\mu|^2 + |\xi|^2)(1 + |\xi|^2)}. \quad (10)$$

We see from (10) that the solution represents a single lump located at $\xi = 0$. This locus is a point in space which moves in a straight line with constant speed $v = 2|\mu|/(1 + |\mu|^2)$. The direction of motion is determined by the phase of μ . The energy of the soliton, expressed as a function of v , is $E = 8\pi \text{sech}^{-1} v$. This has the “anti-relativistic” feature of decreasing as v increases: $E \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow 1$ (the speed of light), and $E \rightarrow \infty$ as $v \rightarrow 0$, which is consistent with the absence of static solutions.

There is also a conserved angular momentum, corresponding to the rotation symmetry $z \mapsto z \exp(i\chi)$. This, together with the energy and momentum, are the only local conserved quantities of which we are aware; and their existence is not really connected with the integrability of the equation.

In [12] there is a description of infinite sets of local conserved densities; these are constructed from differential operators acting $J^{-1}J_\mu$, and their integrals diverge in the same way as that of $J^{-1}J_t$. Even if a boundary condition were chosen which ensured

convergence, integration by parts gives relations between these conserved charges which seem to indicate that very few, if any, of them are independent and new. In other words, one still only has the obvious local conservation laws, irrespective of convergence.

We conclude this section by mentioning the corresponding results for the S equation (2). It is evident from the equation that $J^{-1}J_u$ is a conserved density; but, as before, the corresponding charges diverge. The energy and y -momentum are well-defined, their densities being given by

$$P^0 = -\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[(J^{-1}J_t)^2 + (J^{-1}J_x)^2 + (J^{-1}J_y)^2],$$

$$P^2 = \text{tr}(J^{-1}J_t J^{-1}J_y).$$

A conserved x -momentum density can be obtained from a Lagrangian analogous to (7). But the functions appearing in it have singularities in general (in particular, this is the case for the soliton solutions); and as a consequence, the x -momentum is divergent. The problem occurs because of singularities in a parametrization such as (6) in this case. It is not obvious whether one can find another parametrization which avoids these singularities.

4. Nonlocal Conserved Quantities.

An infinite sequence of nonlocal conserved currents was first exhibited by Prasad *et al* [9], and independently by Pohlmeyer [10]. This was motivated by an analogous sequence for the two-dimensional chiral model. A different sequence of nonlocal currents was later given by Leznov (*cf.* [13]), and independently by Papachristou [14]. A third sequence was mentioned by Sutcliffe [4].

Leznov's argument made use of an alternative form of the SDYM and the chiral equations. For the S -version (2), this is

$$X_{xx} - X_{uv} + [X_v, X_x] = 0, \tag{11}$$

where X is a Lie-algebra-valued function defined by $X_x = J^{-1}J_u$, $X_v = J^{-1}J_x$. Eqn (11) also arises from a Lagrangian [13], but the corresponding energy functional is not positive-definite. Papachristou [15] called (11) the “potential SDYM equation”. He pointed out that new conservation laws could be derived from symmetries of (11), and that such symmetries are in effect solutions of a novel linear system for the SDYM equations [16]. This latter possibility has yet to be fully explored.

For the time being, let us concentrate on the case of the S -equation (2). The “old” nonlocal conserved densities involve the integral operator ∂_x^{-1} , which we take to be $\partial_x^{-1}F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x F(x') dx'$. Write $A = J^{-1}J_u$, $B = J^{-1}J_x$, and $C = \partial_x^{-1}A$. Then the first nonlocal conserved densities of [9, 10], and of [13, 14], are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} a &= B + 2C_y + AC, \\ b &= B + 2C_y + \tfrac{1}{2}[A, C]. \end{aligned}$$

The boundary condition (4) implies that A and B are $O(r^{-2})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ (so C is bounded but nonzero at infinity). Consequently, the spatial integrals $\iint a dx dy$ and $\iint b dx dy$ are divergent in general. The same is true for the other nonlocal conserved densities in the sequences of [9, 10, 13, 14].

Now observe that $2(a - b) = AC + CA = \partial_x C^2$. Although the integral of this is formally divergent, there is a way of defining it which makes sense. This gives the first member Q_1 of our new sequence $\{Q_n\}$, which we now define. The Q_n are given by

$$Q_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M^{n+1} dy, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (12)$$

where

$$M(t, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J^{-1}J_u dx. \quad (13)$$

First, let us show that these Q_n are well-defined, for any fixed value of the time t . The boundary condition implies that there exists a positive constant K such that each component $A_{\alpha\beta}$ of the matrix $A = J^{-1}J_u$ satisfies $|A_{\alpha\beta}| \leq K/(r^2 + 1)$, and so $|M_{\alpha\beta}| \leq \pi K/\sqrt{y^2 + 1}$. It follows that $M^{n+1} = O(y^{-n-1})$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$, and so the integral (12) converges.

Secondly, we prove that the Q_n are conserved. Note that

$$\partial_v M = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_v(J^{-1}J_u) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x(J^{-1}J_x) dx = 0.$$

Hence $\partial_v M^{n+1} = 0$, and so

$$\frac{dQ_n}{dt} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_t M^{n+1} dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_y M^{n+1} dy = 0,$$

as claimed.

Thirdly, let us investigate how many independent conserved quantities there are among the Q_n . This discussion applies specifically to the $SU(2)$ case (whereas the previous remarks apply equally well to any other gauge group). Since M takes values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^{2p} &= (-1)^p \|M\|^{2p} I, \\ M^{2p+1} &= i(-1)^p \|M\|^{2p} M, \end{aligned}$$

where I is the identity 2×2 matrix, and $\|M\|^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(M^2)$. So for each odd n , there is one real conserved quantity (Q_n equals, up to sign, the number $\int \|M\|^{n+1} dy$ times I); and for each even n , there are three (the components of $\int \|M\|^n M dy$). Since M is essentially an arbitrary $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued function of y (think of initial data for J on $t = 0$, say), all these conserved quantities are independent.

There is another set of well-defined conserved charges, which are complementary to, and independent of, the Q_n . They are related to the (nonintegrable) conserved densities given in [4]. The key point is that (2) is equivalent to

$$\partial_u(J_v J^{-1}) - \partial_x(J_x J^{-1}) = 0.$$

From this, it is easy to see that the following quantities are conserved:

$$\widehat{Q}_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{M}^{n+1} dy, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (14)$$

where

$$\widehat{M}(t, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_v J^{-1} dx. \quad (15)$$

For the T -equation (3), the situation is somewhat different. Once more, the conserved densities of [9, 10] are not integrable; in particular, this is the case for the soliton solution described in section 3. So the corresponding conserved charges do not exist. However, one can define charges analogous to the Q_n above. These are

$$R_n = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \partial_{\bar{z}}(\Psi^n) dz \wedge d\bar{z}, \quad (16)$$

where Ψ is the solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}\Psi = J^{-1}J_t, \quad \Psi \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (17)$$

The conservation of the R_n follows from $\partial_t\Psi = J^{-1}J_z$.

5. Concluding Remarks.

We have exhibited several sequences of well-defined conserved quantities for integrable (2+1)-dimensional chiral equations. Although nonlocal, they have a particularly simple form, not involving repeated integration. They are related to nonlocal conservation laws known previously; however, the latter do not yield well-defined conserved quantities, and so cannot contribute to “a complete set of action variables”.

The present sequences certainly do not make up a complete set: for example, the matrix M vanishes for the one-soliton solution (5) and its moving version, and therefore so does Q_n . So one needs to search for more conserved quantities, and also to relate them to the algebraic-geometry [3] and inverse-scattering [6, 7] approaches to the chiral equations. In this regard, it may be useful to compare with the sine-Gordon equation, which is a dimensional reduction of the integrable chiral equations [17]; however, the comparison cannot be a direct one, since the boundary conditions are different. In the case of sine-Gordon, much more is known about conserved quantities, both local and nonlocal [18].

Acknowledgment

The first author thanks the Federation of West Germany Pontium for financial support.

References.

- [1] S. V. Manakov and V. E. Zakharov, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 5 (1981) 247.
- [2] R. S. Ward, *J. Math. Phys.* 29 (1988) 386.
- [3] R. S. Ward, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 128 (1990) 319.
- [4] P. M. Sutcliffe, *Phys. Rev. D* 47 (1993) 5470.
- [5] P. M. Sutcliffe, *J. Math. Phys.* 33 (1992) 2269.
- [6] J. Villarroel, *Inverse Prob.* 5 (1989) 1157.
- [7] J. Villarroel, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 83 (1990) 211.
- [8] R. S. Ward, *Nonlinearity* 1 (1988) 671.
- [9] M. K. Prasad, A. Sinha and L.-L. Wang, *Phys. Lett. B* 87 (1979) 237.
- [10] K. Pohlmeyer, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 72 (1980) 37.
- [11] K. K. Uhlenbeck, *J. Diff. Geom.* 30 (1989) 1.
- [12] C. J. Papachristou and B. K. Harrison, *Phys. Lett. A* 127 (1988) 167.
- [13] A. N. Leznov and M. V. Saveliev, *Acta Applic. Math.* 16 (1989) 1.
- [14] C. J. Papachristou, *Phys. Lett. A* 138 (1989) 493.
- [15] C. J. Papachristou, *Phys. Lett. A* 145 (1990) 250.
- [16] C. J. Papachristou, *J. Phys. A* 24 (1991) L1051.
- [17] R. A. Leese, *J. Math. Phys.* 30 (1989) 2072.
- [18] R. Sasaki and R. K. Bullough, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 376 (1981) 401.